

From: [Apon, Daniel C. \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: Final list?
Date: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 11:44:45 AM

I prefer the current list that we have. I think the "adding members" message that's in preparation covers any other cases

From: Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2018 11:10 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) ; internal-pqc
Subject: Re: Final list?

I am decidedly not good with any more adds.

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Monday, December 17, 2018 at 10:49 AM
To: internal-pqc <internal-pqc@nist.gov>
Subject: Final list?

Everyone,

I was wondering how everybody is feeling with our list of algorithms we are moving on to the 2nd round. I think we've discussed it a few times together, and it seems sort of stable right now. Does anyone want us to reconsider either adding or dropping any of the submissions? I know we've had some discussion on Lima and KINDI, but at the last meeting we left it with both of them still out. Are we good with that? Any other algorithms? We could have one more meeting before we announce if there is any question. If we are okay with our current list, then there would be no need for a meeting. Please let me know your thoughts.

As for me: I'm okay with our list as is. If we added Lima and KINDI, I'd be okay with that too.

Dustin